

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

DATE MAILED:

Iress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		,	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/648,033	08/25/00	PATEL		M	112703-017	
ROBERT M BARRETT ESQ BELL BOYD & LLOYD LLC		IM52/1011	乛	EXAMINER		
				CORBIN,	. A	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P O BOX 113 CHICAGO IL				1761		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

10/11/01

•	Andrew At				
	Application No. Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		ATEL ET AL			
	ARTHUR L. CORDINI				
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	on the cover sheet beneath the corn	espondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE MONTH(S) FI	ROM THE MAILING DATE			
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a releft NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status 	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days	s will be considered timely.			
Status		• **==			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8 - 6 -)				
This action is FINAL .					
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except f accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 	formal matters, prosecution as to the C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	merits is closed in			
Disposition of Claims					
Ø Claim(s)	is/are pend	dia a to di			
Of the above claim(s)	is/are pend	is/are pending in the application.			
Claim(s) 1-20	Is/are allow	is/are allowed.			
□ Claim(s)	Is/are reject	is/are rejected.			
□ Claim(s)	Is/are object	is/are objected to.			
Application Papers	requiremen	 are subject to restriction or election requirement. 			
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	ovious BTO 040				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is Papproved Delicarian				
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to □ approved □ disapproved.				
ine specification is objected to by the Examiner.	- Sy wie Examinor.				
\square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the □ received. 	priority documents have been				
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)_ □ received in this national stage application from the International Series (Series Code/Serial Number)_ 	onal Purson (DOT D. L. 4 D. 4 M.	u•			
*Certified copies not received:	5.14. Buleau (FOT Mule 1 7.2(a)).				
Attachment(s)		_•			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)					
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	7, .	□ Interview Summary, PTO-413			
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
The state of the s	□ Other	☐ Other			

Office Action Summary

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 2, 4 and 6, "comprises" should be changed to "comprising". Appropriate correction is required.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6 and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Cherukuri et al (4,518,615, cols. 5 and 6 and Table III, Run D), Cherukuri et al. (4,79,00¢, cols. 2, 6, 7 and 8) or D'Amelia et al (col; 3, 5, 6 and 10). Applicant referred to paragraphs no. 5, Paper No. 5.
- 5. Claims 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cherukuri et al. (4,518,615), Cherukuri et al (4,794,003) or D'Amelia et al. Applicant is referred to paragraph no. 6, Paper No. 5..

Art Unit: 1761

6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cherukuri et al (4,518,615), Cherukuri et al. (4,794,£003) or D' Amelia et al in view of Klose et al. Applicant's referred to paragraph no. 7, Paper No. 5.

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CAR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CAR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CAR 3.73(b).

- 8. Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent No. 09/648,028 in view of either Cherukuri et al patent or D'Amelia et al. Applicant is referred to paragraph no. 9, Paper No.5
- 9. Applicant's arguments filed August 6, 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's comments with regard to each primary reference are without merit.

 Cherukuri et al ('003) clearly recites without the use of a filler (col. 2, line 56) and includes lecithin (col. 6). Cherukuri et al ('615) clearly suggests a gum base absent a filler (Table III, Run 1) and requires the use of an emulsifier, e.g., lecithin (col. 5, lines 1-2). D'Amelia et al discloses

Application/Control Number: 09/648,033

Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

"07. filler" (col. 5, lines 38-39 and col. 10, line 61) and the use of lecithin (col. 6, lines 20-25).

The disclosure in each of these patents that the use of a filler is optional does not detract from their teaching that a gum base without a filler but including lecithin is known in the art.

Additionally, the chewing gum prepared in each primary reference will inherently achieve applicant's result of reduced adhesion to environmental surfaces since applicant's claimed gum composition is disclosed by each primary reference.

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CAR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CAR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur Corbin whose telephone number is (703) 308-3850. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 9:30 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Mondays.

Application/Control Number: 09/648,033

Page 5

Art Unit: 1761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on (703) 308-3959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3602.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

A. Corbin/dh

October 9, 2001

ARTHUR L. CORBIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

10-10-01